

Fake Geopolitics, the Falsification of the History of the Ottoman Empire, and the Nonexistent Neo-Ottomanism

Фальшивая геополитика, фальсификация истории Османской империи и несуществующий неоосманизм

Sahte Jeopolitik, Osmanlı İmparatorluğu Tarihinin Sahtekarlığı ve Var Olmayan Yeni-Osmanlıcılık

Оглавление

- I. Геополитика: фальшивая наука
- II. Фальсификация истории Османской империи
- III. Нелепая беатификация убогих османов, злейших врагов турок
- IV. Примеры туркменского, анатолийского и мусульманского неприятия османского псевдосуннитского богословия
- V. Западный миф об «Османской империи»

İçindekiler

- I. Jeopolitik: Sahte Bir Bilim
- II. Osmanlı İmparatorluğu Tarihinin Sahtekarlığı
- III. Türklerin En Kötü Düşmanları olan Sefil Osmanlıların Gülünç Kutsaması
- IV. Türkmen, Anadolu ve Müslümanların Osmanlı Sözde-Sünni Teolojisini Reddetme Örnekleri
- V. 'Osmanlı İmparatorluğu'nun Batılı Miti

Table of Contents

- I. Geopolitics: a Fake Science
- II. The Falsification of the History of the Ottoman Empire
- III. The Ridiculous Beatification of the Squalid Ottomans, the Worst Enemies of the Turks
- IV. Examples of Turkmen, Anatolian and Muslim Rejection of the Ottoman Pseudo-Sunni Theology
- V. The Western Myth of the 'Ottoman Empire'

The modern 'science' of geopolitics is an entirely fake doctrine which promotes biased interpretations of geographical data out of any historical relevance; matched with colonially forged History, it generates enormous troubles, plunging billions of people into unnecessary wars, endless conflicts, sectarian clashes, confused education, false national identity, cultural disintegration, and moral depravity.

A while back, a Somali friend sent me the article of a Romanian 'geopolitical analyst' about the so-called 'Neo-Ottomanist' trend in today's derailed, gravely endangered, and seriously menaced Turkey. Upon reading the title of the publication, I exploded in laughter!

First, geopolitics is a nonexistent science or, if you prefer, a pseudo-science. Second, how can one possibly be 'Ottomanist' or even 'Neo-Ottomanist', when the historical truth about the Ottoman Empire is virtually unknown, partly concealed, and viciously falsified?

By declaring yourself as 'Neo-Ottomanist', you guarantee that you don't have a clue about what you are. You are an idiot.

By declaring yourself as 'an enemy of the Neo-Ottomanism', you certify that you don't have an idea about what happens around you. You are an imbecile.

Idiots and imbeciles are not born as such; they are gradually produced within an evil society mainly because of their mistake not to examine everything meticulously, not to reject everything that they hear or read, and not to carry out an austere self-criticism every single day.

This is the fate of the people - of those who consider themselves 'Neo-Ottomanists' and of those who oppose them. This is so because when ignorance prevails, simply you are nothing more than a feather blown in the wind. These unfortunate people will plunge into strife, clash, wars, and hatred only due to their delusions, sick dreams, calamitous ignorance, and spiritual misery.

The failure to apply an austere self-criticism, the fake concept of post-Enlightenment 'patriotism' (let alone nationalism or chauvinism), the false History taught in the schools and propagated by publishing houses, mass media, and cinematography, the confusion between spirituality and theology, and the impermissible association of religion with politics leads people to death in this world, and to Hell in the Hereafter.

What follows is my response to my suave Somali friend.

----- Response to a Somali friend -----

Dear Bischara,

Thank you for your email of 7th May, feedback, attachment and your questions!

I went through the paper that you sent me.

I. Geopolitics: a Fake Science

Please, do not be so naïve as to believe that you will learn anything true, succinct and worthwhile through most of the billions of the books and the articles that you can find online or in hard copies. You are living in a fake world; a fake world has fake sciences. Geopolitics is just one of them.

There is no such a science — in striking contrast with Egyptology, Assyriology, Iranology, etc. which are real academic disciplines examining the past of the respective countries / nations / civilizations.

If you uttered the fake, ridiculous and meaningless word «geopolitics» before 170 years, suggesting that it is a 'science', all the then scholars would laugh at you. But those scholars in the middle of the 19th c. were far better than, and truly superior to, those of today.

Only subliminal manipulations make the average people of today to be stupid enough to believe that there is evolution of species (in the manner it is claimed), that there is real progress from the past to the present and the future, that people now are more knowledgeable than in the past, that science advanced from the Antiquity until nowadays, and that today's science is 'higher' than that of the great civilizations of Ancient Orient. However, nowadays you definitely cannot build a pyramid like that of Khufu in Kemet (Egypt), and all the scientists of the world are good enough only to bring about the total extinction of the Mankind (which is not a nuclear war but the diffusion of Metaverse, a form of Non-being). So, stop considering the modern science and the ensued materialist-consumerist world as anything worthwhile! It would be better to live in Somalia before 600 years or before 3600 years than to live now.

As a matter of fact, without understanding it, all the average people worldwide have fallen victim to deliberately produced delusions like Darwinism and Evolutionism; even those, who say that they reject the false conclusions of these theoretical systems at the paleontological / anthropological level, are indeed their victims, and quite unfortunately, their thoughts are contaminated (by evolutionist concepts, notions, standards, considerations or world perceptions) without them realizing it. Because of this situation, people all over the world stupidly believe that the Mankind is now more knowledgeable and more powerful, whereas the Mankind was far better before 4000 and 5000 years.

What is the fake science geopolitics?

A vicious and insidious trickery as per which you theorize, presenting things as it pleases you.

Geopolitics is a matter of paranoia. You need to be paranoid to possibly accept the idea of the so-called 'geopolitics'. You can see that on the sick and devious faces of the so-called 'specialists in geopolitics'.

Every word of the fundamentals of geopolitics is an arbitrary aberration.

Everything that you read here is just rubbish:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Geographical_Pivot_of_History

There is no such thing as 'heartland' or 'rimland'

- Would you or any other Somali call, under any circumstances whatsoever, the coastland of Somalia from Raas Caseyra and Ras Xaafuun down to Kismaayo, and for 50 km inland, 'rimland'?

- No, you would not! This would be only an imaginary line, which would not represent any historical, cultural, intellectual or academic truth.

But if you had drawn that hypothetical line from Raas Casey and Ras Xaafuun down to Kismaayo for your own benefit and for the disastrous consequences, which that line would have on the local inhabitants, you would have then officialized it by calling it 'geopolitics'.

This is the whole value of the so-called 'geopolitics'. A trashy concept that suits the criminal interests of the villainous Anglo-Saxon gangsters! The Hell with them!

Do not waste any moment of your life on this mental excrement of 'geo-politics'!

Such nonsense only corrupts the mind with useless nonsense:

<https://mackinderforum.org/heartland-vs-rimland-continental-vs-maritime-power-the-geopolitics-of-the-first-world-war/>

History does not confirm the slightest portion of those silly 'lines' that the Anglo-Saxon syphilis drew only to confuse others and plunge billions into endless wars.

Actually, this is what most of today's average people never observed:

Not one criminal gangster, who postures as a 'specialist in geopolitics', has ever bothered to explain the historicity of the «lines» that you and others «see» on their fake maps.

How does all this function?

Backed by big money and taught in supposedly important universities, the fallacy of geopolitics generates idiotic pseudo-academic elites who, after studying in the fake universities, i.e. the academic brothels of England, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and the US, are hired due to their nonexistent credentials (in reality their credentials damage their respective countries) only to promote the choleric Anglo-Saxon world's paranoia, evilness and inhumanity.

Von Brzezinski 1997 vorhergesehen: "Der eurasische Balkan"



Brzezinski's Eurasian Balkans: there are no 'Eurasian Balkans'. It's a fallacy. In every place you draw an arbitrary ellipse across Asia (or Africa or Europe), you will discover ... 'Balkans'! It's idiotic, but if backed by big money, it is presented as a serious academic analysis.



This is a tragi-comical deception, because wherever you want, you can draw historically meaningless lines that you will later call 'heartland' and 'rimland'. Fake words for historically nonexistent concepts! Pure absurdity to fool the idiots!




This is a deliberately constructed reality, because Western bankers educated, prepared and launched the so-called 'red adversary'. There is no such thing as 'Midland Ocean'; that's ridiculous.



Absurdly drawn lines that are rejected by all the conclusions of the History of the Mankind



There is no such thing as 'The World Island'; it is a non-concept. You cannot define the Earth with respect to the Sea. The sea is NOT a place where people lived or can live. It is a space that you only cross to reach other parts of the Earth. The map is entirely fake because not one civilization grew and no humans lived with this map in mind. So, it is an aberration – the vicious fabrication of a paranoid uneducated guy or a heinous schemer.



Another fake map established by a paranoid pseudo-scientist: anyone can call 'middle space' (and 'West', 'South' and 'East') any part of the Earth that he chooses to designate so. Not a single civilization worldwide ever viewed or named these lands in this ludicrous manner.

Первая геополитическая модель мира 1904 г.
 Хэлфорд Джон Макиндер
 «Heartland» – Хартленд (центральная или стержневая зона)
 «World island» - полумесяц, образуемый островами и внешними континентами
 «Rimland» (англ. rim – ободок, край) - береговая линия евразийского континента



См.: Нартов Н.А. Геополитика: Учебник для вузов /Под ред. проф. В.И. Старовойта. – М.: Изд. «Персеус», 2004; Дугин А.Г. Основы геополитики. – М., 2000.

An absolute absurdity fabricated to confuse others



Карта 6. Геополитическое районирование планеты по версии Х.Макиндера. Rimland.

A total distortion of the World History: there has never been a 'sea power'. That's a lie. Every major kingdom or empire was a continental power. The only powerful kingdom that was headquartered in an island is England. But no island has ever existed on its own; every island is a continental dependency. The English theoreticians' evil effort to produce a fallacy in this regard is tantamount to conscious and systematic desire to abnegate the World History and therefore to annihilate the Mankind.

There is nothing to help «correct» the «mistakes» of geopolitics. Throw the entire fallacy of 'geopolitics' to the rubbish basket!

There cannot actually be «mistakes» in a peremptory fallacy: it has been all rotten from Day 1.

This is the mistake of the Russians, who subsidize big institutes and think tanks like VALDAI (<https://ru.valdaiclub.com/> and <https://valdaiclub.com/>) to prove the Americans and the others wrong in the terms of geopolitics. There is nothing to prove in geopolitics; it is all false from the first word.



Only now the Russians start realizing that the mistake was theirs! And they also understand that they accepted already too much of the Western fallacy.

So, do not waste your time with the worthless publications of every Romanian pseudo-scholar! He simply tried desperately to reproduce the same geopolitical fallacy, this time slightly turning the story in a way to suit the interests of Romania. Worthless rubbish! You cannot possibly waste your time on such bogus-publications!

All the countries, which were detached from the Ottoman Empire, are fake states; they merely constitute fabricated tools of the French and English colonialism and inhumanity. Few states make an exception in this regard, as they represent true, real nations. Nowhere else can one study this so well, except in Egypt! This is an entirely fake nation of ignorant and blind people who do not know anything about their own identity, history, past and culture.

When an Iranian speaks about the Achaemenid Emperor Darius I the Great (550-486 BCE; he reigned after 522 BCE), the Iranian feels that he is the descendant of that great emperor and he is fully aware of the numerous elements of his popular (not elite) culture that refer to Darius the Great. This does not happen in today's Egypt; they speak of Akhenaten or Amenhotep III or Thutmose III as if these pharaohs reigned in another continent and among other people!

Before two years, a US-based Oromo friend wrote to ask me why Egypt does not help the Oromos achieve national independence in return for the ensuing dissolution of Abyssinia (Fake Ethiopia) and the subsequent cancellation of the Renaissance Dam. I answered saying that, for this to be done, Egypt must first understand that this proposal is suitable for the national interests of Egypt, but this is impossible because Egypt is not a nation first, and second (and worse), the country was entirely constructed by the French and the English in a way that it would not become and it would never function as a state.



I ended saying that, in a way, the Oromos are a nation, although subjugated and persecuted, but the Egyptians never got a proper national education, never realized what national identity is, and never understood how they have been aptly utilized (against their own national interests) by the colonial governments of the Western countries and the evil secret societies behind them.

Here you have my series of five articles as response to his naïve question:

https://www.academia.edu/44839455/Oromos_Egypt_the_Nile_Abyssinia_Fake_Ethiopia_and_the_True_Essence_of_Colonialism_Part_I

https://www.academia.edu/44862368/The_Enemies_of_Oromos_and_their_Deeds_First_and_Second_Colonization_Jesuit_Reductions_Renaissance_and_the_Historical_Revisionism_of_Racist_Colonials_Part_II

https://www.academia.edu/44911781/Intellectual_Colonialism_in_Egypt_How_Egyptian_Fake_Universities_and_Obsolete_Education_destroy_Cairos_Chances_to_all_y_with_Oromos_and_Sudans_Arabic_speaking_Cushites_Part_III

https://www.academia.edu/44931444/Egyptians_Deaf_to_the_Oromo_Insurrection_against_Abyssinia_Fake_Ethiopia_and_Blind_to_Abyssinian_Prophecies_about_Egypt_Annihilation_Part_IV

https://www.academia.edu/44995994/Contrary_to_Oromos_and_Somalis_the_Masriyin_Christian_or_Muslim_Egyptians_as_subjects_of_the_Mamluks_and_the_French_have_had_no_National_Identity_Part_V



Fake borders of a fake nation ignorant of their past: Modern 'Egypt'. On this land, there was 'Kemet' during the Antiquity and there was 'Masr' after the arrival of Islam. The fact that the modern state's name is in Arabic 'Gomhuriyah Masr al Arabiyah', but the Masriyyin (fake

Now, Romania is a fake nation fabricated out of thin air, only to function against -at the same time- the Ottoman Empire and Russia. There is no Romanian nation; there are Wallachians and Moldavians – two different nations.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wallachia> and <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moldavia>

There is no Romanian language; it did not exist. It was fabricated by French linguists, grammarians, and colonial Latinists, who intended to falsely portray the Wallachian and the Moldavian languages as the two «dialects» of the hypothetical Romanian language. In fact, they fabricated a linguistic mixture, and their silly local pawns imposed it nationwide as 'official language'. It is a very filthy story, which also involves the occupation of the Hungarian land of Transylvania and of the Bulgarian province of Dobruja. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dobruja>

Knowing the above about the 'national' background of the author and 'specialist', whom you presented to me, what do you think that he can possibly say – except the lies that he was taught (at home or abroad) to diffuse worldwide?

Remember that, if he ever tried to oppose the official Romanian state dogma and the orchestrated falsehood of the French, the English, the American and other Western universities, all the doors would be closed to him and his career would be destroyed irreparably. He is therefore a bureaucrat, a clerk, who nonchalantly repeats the state dogma's falsehood and nonsense.

So, if you please, forget it!

II. The Falsification of the History of the Ottoman Empire

If geopolitics is a fake science, plenty of real sciences have also been filled with lies and misperceptions, whereas a great number of topics well known to specialists remained concealed and unknown to the general public – only to the benefit of the criminal colonial agenda.

The History of the Ottoman Empire, the History of Islam, and the History of Modern Turkey are three sectors of the academic discipline of History that have been monstrously falsified by the Orientalist, colonial academics. The reason for this is simple:

- if today's Turks and Muslims know the truth about these topics, they will not believe the colonial lies, which have been fabricated by the West only to destroy them – and have actually destroyed them. So, without the silly lies that they have in their heads, they would not be destroyed.

The falsification of the History of the Ottoman Empire is a multilayered case of forgery; it involves numerous factors and methods of distortion, namely

1- several historical facts have been concealed from the average public;

2- numerous events have been dissociated from other circumstances, which however constituted their inevitable consequences;

- 3- various developments were misinterpreted and their significance was over-magnified in order to intentionally cause emotional involvement of the readers;
- 4- positive forces, pillars of the Ottoman state's spiritual and physical strength, were deliberately depicted as negative;
- 5- catastrophic institutions were portrayed as 'normal';
- 6- disastrous oversight, lack of foresight, and other dramatic failures of the sultans, which brought about the gradual decomposition and the final end of the Ottomans, were systematically disregarded or even purposefully hidden from readers;
- 7- false interpretations and wrong assumptions made by scholars were extensively propagated in order to cause further confusion among the naïve readership; and
- 8- a 'mythical' Ottoman Empire was fabricated to be 'useful' for all colonial purposes.

In the process, two very different entities were calamitously confused in order to plunge average readers and people in Turkey and worldwide in mysteries: Ottoman dynasty (Ottoman Empire) and Ottoman Civilization. The two entities are entirely unrelated to one another.

Quite indicatively, for the time the Ottoman Civilization existed (until 1580), the real factors of civilization were overwhelmingly opposed to the corrupt, paranoid, inhuman, genuinely anti-Islamic, and absolutely idiotic Ottoman family.

The Ottoman Sultanate became an Empire when Mehmet II invaded the tiny remnant of the Eastern Roman (falsely called by Western colonials as 'Byzantine') Empire, namely the city of Constantinople, in 1453; it was then that Mehmet II got the title of Qaysar-i Rum (i.e. Roman Emperor; literally 'Caesar of Rome'). After the inception of the Ottoman Sultanate in 1299 and until the end of the 16th c., Islamic Civilization flourished in the Ottoman Empire, as it also did in the Safavid Empire of Iran, in the Mughal Empire of India, in Central Asia, in many parts of Africa, and in Indonesia. As you can easily understand, in real historical terms, there is no 'Ottoman Civilization'; this is 'Islamic Civilization'.




The Ottomans as a minor Turkmen beylik in the early 14th c.



Anatolia around 1350

The real factors of the Islamic Civilization were all the scientists, the mystics, the spiritual leaders of various esoteric orders, the poets, the historians, the authors, the wise scholars, the artists, the architects, the astrologists (who were at the same time real astronomers – because there was no distinction between Astronomy and Astrology at the time, and this was very correct), the alchemists, the masters of the supernatural force, the physicians, the grammarians, the explorers, the inventors, the natural scientists, and all the masters of spiritual and material knowledge and Wisdom.

These people performed and delivered working in several research centers, libraries, academies, archives, and in observatories like those of Maragheh in Iran (13th and 14th c.) and Samarqand in Uzbekistan (15th c.). We find the same driving forces of spiritual and academic life later (16th c.) in the Observatory of Ottoman Constantinople, which was however short-lived since the barbarian mob that followed the Satanic theologians and the ignorant sheikhulislam destroyed it in 1580, because according to their silly opinion "Islam does not permit Astrology". It goes without saying that every spiritual school (tariqa) was a major scientific center too.



The Ottoman Sultanate before the conquest of Constantinople was already kind of a substitute for the Eastern Roman Empire.

The situation eliminated the ominous impact that a marginal theological group had on parts of the Islamic world; however, this viciously anti-Islamic group made its way to the Ottoman capital (first at Söğüt, near Bilecik, at 200 km from the Eastern Roman capital: 1299-1331; second at Nicaea/Iznik, at 140 km from Constantinople: 1331-1335; third at Prousa/Bursa, at 150 km from the Christian Orthodox capital: 1335-1363; then at Adrianople/Edirne, in Thrace: 1363-1453) long before Mehmet II invaded Constantinople.

To effectively hold the Ottoman sultans hostage of their evil pseudo-Islamic theology, they convinced the sultans to establish an absolutely non-Islamic state position, which had never existed before in any Islamic Caliphate and was actually nonexistent at that time in the Mamluk Caliphate (transferred in Cairo): the position of sheikhulislam. This repugnant and disreputable chicanery turned the Ottoman Sultanate into a viciously sectarian state, therefore promoting the ultimate Christianization of Islam. Any Muslim society that has a top religious authority becomes utterly papal in nature; Islam is by definition a religion with no priests.

As an exaggerated, exorbitant description, the term 'sheikhulislam' was never of true, essential value in the Golden Age of Islamic Civilization. On some occasions, it had merely a nominal value, whereas in other cases it constituted an honorific distinction void of any substantive importance. Among Ottomans, it started being used during the 13th c., only to become official at the time of the controversial sultan Murad II (1404-1451; reigned from 1421 to 1444 and from 1446-1451). The reasons for which such an unprecedented measure was taken hinge indeed on the sectarian situation that prevailed among the so-called Ottoman family, which was looking like a brothel whereby every prostitute would fight to make of her son the 'king'.

If kingdoms are known as the realm of discipline and order, the Ottoman sultanate was the ever moving circumference of nefarious disorder and chaotic wickedness.

Incredibly nauseating stories of divided harems, concubines allying with filthy sheikhs against other concubines supported by other imams, qadis and muftis - with several foreign powers involved in the internal conflicts of the disreputable Ottoman 'family' (because this absurd mass of concubines originated from different Orthodox, Catholic, Muslim kingdoms, khanates, principalities, sultanates, emirates and beyliks) and with constant clashes between the sectarian theologians and the only valuable and virtuous body of the state, namely the Janissaries (the indomitable infantry corps) - produced an infernal situation at the top of the world's most misgoverned country.

The overall socio-economic situation of the population and the ruling conditions of the absurd state that hosted so many centrifugal forces deteriorated significantly due to the rising influence of the odious, sectarian and deeply anti-Islamic theologians around the idiotic Ottoman sultans, the likes of Murad I (1326-1389; reigned after 1362), Bayezid I (1360-1402; reigned as Sultan-i Rum, i.e. ruler of the 'Eastern Romans' because of his Eastern Roman mother, after 1389), Mehmed I (1386-1421; reigned after 1413, when the Ottoman interregnum 1402-1413 ended) and Murad II. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murad_I

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayezid_I

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed_I

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murad_II

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shaykh_al-Isl%C4%81m

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Sheikh-ul-Islams_of_the_Ottoman_Empire

III. The Ridiculous Beatification of the Squalid Ottomans, the Worst Enemies of the Turks

The Ottoman 'family' and the religious elite achieved to indisputably become the world's most loathed ruling class; there was no time the wretched Ottoman family garnered the support of more than 10% of the sultanate's population. Even worse for the Islamic world: further the Ottoman Empire (after 1453) expanded, more loathed it was. The absurdity of these undeniable facts is meticulously hidden by Western and Turkish Turkologists alike; this is due to external interference and colonial involvement in Turkey after the death of Kemal Atatürk.

First, the French 'school' of the Annalists (academics publishing in the ideologically motivated periodical 'Annales' in order to portray all historical developments as of socio-economic and not spiritual of nature) and Fernand Braudel (1902-1985) showed an extraordinary interest for the Ottoman Empire's commercial relations with Venice, Genoa, France and other European kingdoms, thus shifting the focus from other more crucial issues which help average readership identify the disastrous nature of the Ottoman rule.



Fernand Braudel

Second, Anglo-American Orientalists, notably the Zionist Bernard Lewis (1916-2018), the real father of today's anti-Islamic 'Political Islam', decided not to criticize anything related to the History of the Islamic Caliphates (the Ottoman state included) in the way the Western historians used to do as regards the History of the Western European Christian kingdoms after the fall of the Roman Empire. This premeditated anti-academic choice left the then newly created Islamist perspective unchallenged.

Even worse, in Turkey, following Mehmet Fuat Köprülü (1890-1966), a scholar known for his academic tergiversations, weak personality, political confusion, and total inability to contextualize facts, events and developments, several Turkish historians, rather acting as agents of colonial powers, undertook the ridiculous task to 'familiarize' the Turks with the Ottomans. Among them, I clearly distinguish Halil İnalçık (1916-2016) and İlber Ortaylı (born in 1947). Their biased publications consist in an academic preparation for an anti-Atatürk political cholera.



Mehmet Fuat Köprülü (right) with Modern Turkey's most disreputable rascal Adnan Menderes who was rightfully executed in 1960

When it comes to Turkish Turkologists and Islamologists, it is true that Kemal Atatürk was not as lucky as he was with Turkish Hittitologists, Assyriologists and Sumerologists. Until 1938, there was not one single Turkish historian specializing in Turkology who would truly express with academic arguments the position of the founder of the Turkish state about this topic. This produced a posterior confusion, because many tried to depict Atatürk as Pan-Turkist or Pan-Turanianist, but he was not.



Muazzez İlmiye Çığ, a leading Turkish Sumerologist and Assyriologist



On the contrary and due to Kemal Atatürk's personal interest in History and Archaeology of Anatolia and Mesopotamia, there were many important Orientalists, historians and archaeologists of Turkish origin as early as 1930-1935, and in many fields of the Humanities, Turkey closed the gap that had been created by the apathy, the indifference and the inaptitude of the 18th, 19th and early 20th c. Ottoman authorities. Highly knowledgeable scholars, like the Assyriologist-Sumerologist Prof. Muazzez İlmiye Çığ, the grand Turkish lady and leading intellectual (born in 1914; 108 years old as of today) and the Hittitologist and archaeologist Ekrem Akurgal (1911-2002), were the sheer result of Kemal Atatürk's willingness to make of Anatolia the focal point of the new nation, leaving the old capital to its past. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmet_Fuat_K%C3%B6pr%C3%BCl%C3%BC#Relationship_with_Mustafa_Kemal_Atat%C3%BCrk

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Halil_%C4%B0nal%C4%B1k

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C4%B0lber_Ortayl%C4%B1


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ekrem_Akurgal

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muazzez_%C4%B0lmiye_%C3%87%C4%B1%C4%9


F


Unfortunately, after his death (1938), the founder of the Turkish Nation was betrayed by Kemalists, Pan-Turanianists and Islamists alike. I expanded on the topic at the governmental and ideological levels in my article on Kemal Atatürk:

https://www.academia.edu/44743768/Kemal_Ataturk_1938_2020_the_World_s_Greatest_20th_century_Statesman_betrayed_by_Islamists_Pan_Turanianists_and_Kemalists



Ekrem Akurgal






At the academic, intellectual, educational, and cultural levels, the traitors of Kemal Atatürk attempted to fabricate, under Western colonial academic guidance, a fake story of supposed 'Ottoman-Turkish' continuity. This is utterly false; the Ottomans, i.e. the Ottoman family, were the worst enemy of the Turks. Kemal Atatürk liberated Anatolia from both enemies, external and internal: the armies of the French, the Italians and the Greeks constituted the first menace, whereas the wretched and obsolete, anti-Turkish family of Osman was the second opponent. When it comes to the liberation of Anatolia, the pinnacle of the historical process took place on the 3rd March 1924, with the abolition of the Caliphate, which was merely a tool available in the hands of the cursed family to be used against all the Turks throughout the Ottoman lands.



Halil İnalçık: a Turkish origin cosmopolitan who worked in Turkey as historian of the Ottoman Empire only to diffuse misconceptions, misperceptions, and historical falsifications adjusted to criminal Anglo-American colonial plans providing for Turkey's fake re-islamization and final destruction. His professor in London was the criminal colonial liar and political schemer Bernard Lewis.



Execrable clown İlber Ortaylı – he resigned from his academic position in Turkey in 1982 to react against the very correct involvement of the Turkish army in the chaotic political life of the country.

You may have heard about the incessant Ottoman – Iranian wars that ruined both empires, caused hundreds of thousands of casualties, and ruined vast territories of today's Turkey, Syria, Iraq, Armenia, Georgia, Azerbaijan and Iran for more than three centuries. No less than 11 wars, which lasted in total 88 years, in only a period of 300 years! You must surely be as stupid as an Ottoman original to do it! Incredible!
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Persian_Wars

Quite often, there was foreign incitation, as the Ottomans sided with the French and the Iranians with the English, but all this demonstrates the shameful disgrace that the Ottoman family proved to be for all Muslims across the centuries. These wars were fratricidal indeed, not in the sense that both empires were at least nominally Islamic, but because the two ruling dynasties were Turkic-Turanian. The Safavid dynasty (1501-1736) of Iran was an entirely Turkmen family from Azerbaijan.





In both empires, as well as in the Mughal Empire of India, the official language was Turkic; in all three empires, Farsi was the language of the education, literature, and culture, whereas Arabic was the language of the science. In this regard, all three realms continued an almost millennium long tradition attested in the Abbasid, Samanid, Ghaznavid, Buyid, Seljuk, Ilkhanid and Timurid times.

Growth of the Mughal Empire, 1526–1707



All the same, under Safavid, Afshar (1736-1796) or Qajar (1789-1925) rule (all three dynasties were Turkmen), the Iranian shahs intervened many times to save the Turkmen populations of Anatolia from the wrath and the cruelty of their Ottoman rulers; it would perhaps be better for the Muslim, Christian and other populations of Anatolia that the Akkoyunlu dynasty (1378-1503), which controlled the lands of Eastern Turkey and most of today's Iran's territory, prevailed and lasted to possibly supplant the Ottomans in Western Anatolia and the Balkans. Quite unfortunately, with the Safavid tariqa (spiritual Order) launching their own empire, the Akkoyunlu state perished and the Safavid dynasty rose in power in Iran.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aq_Qoyunlu



Afshar Iran under Nader Shah invaded the Mughal Empire of India



The Qajar Empire of Iran

The Aq Qoyunlu Turkmen state in 1478; all the peoples between the Balkans and the Indus River Valley would live better and more happily with no wars, no oppression and no misery, if the Safavid rise did not put an end to the Aq Qoyunlu Turkmen confederacy and if the Aq Qoyunlu prevailed over the ill-fated Ottomans in Western Anatolia.

Closely related to this fact, the rise of the Turkmen Ağıtlar poetry (ağıt: lamentation) definitely bears witness to the overwhelming rejection and the strong revilement of the Ottoman Constantinopolitan pseudo-Sunni theology, absurdity and wickedness by the quasi-totality of the Anatolian Turkmen population.

<https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/A%C4%9F%C4%B1t>



The spread of the Şahkulu (Shahqulu) rebellion in 1509-1511

The rejection of the Ottoman family was very well known to the disreputable Dîvan-ı Hümayun (the Constantinopolitan imperial council); it is from there that the most vicious and the most racist, anti-Turk literature emanated. The filthy clerk of the council Hafız Hamdi Çelebi, serving there in the period of Bayezid II (1447-1512; reigned after 1481), wrote the following libel against the main population of Anatolia and the God-damned Ottoman Empire:

"sakın türk'ü insan sanma
bir an bile olsa türkle olma
türk eline şeker olsa, o şeker zehir olur
türk'ün başını keserken sakın gam yeme
baban bile olsa türk'ü öldür. "

<https://tr.instela.com/hafiz-hamdi-celebi--323568>

A rough English translation reads:

"Don't think the Turk is human!

Don't be a Turk even for a moment!

If a Turkish hand had sugar, that sugar would be poison.

Don't be sad while cutting off the head of a Turk!
Kill the Turk even if he's your father"!

This is the entire truth about the worst shame of the 2nd millennium, namely the Ottoman Empire. More (<https://www.turkudostlari.net/soz.asp?turku=18072>):

- Prof. Dr. Faruk Sümer, *Oğuzlar, Türk Dünyası Araştırmaları Vakfı, İstanbul, 1992, p. 348*

- Ahmet Refik, *Anadolu'da Türk Aşiretleri, Enderun Kitabevi, İstanbul, 1989, p. 77-78*

- Baki Yaşa Altınok, *Rakka ve Orta Anadolu Ekseninde Bir Oymağın Tarihi (Ceritler) {History of a Tribe on the Axis of Raqqa and Central Anatolia (Ceritler)}*, Gazi Üniversitesi Türk Kültürü ve Hacı Bektaş Veli Araştırma Dergisi, Yıl 8, Sayı 21, 2002, p. 211-224

- Baki Yaşa Altınok, *Öyküleriyle Kırşehir Türküleri, Destanları, Ağıtları (Kırşehir Folk Songs, Epics, Laments with Their Stories)*, Oba Yayıncılık, May 2003, Ankara, p. 91-93

Speaking about the spiritual, cultural, educational, intellectual, moral and socio-behavioral traditions of the Anatolian Turks, one has to concentrate on the four cornerstones of the Turkic (mainly Turkmen) Anatolian identity and integrity:

1- the Turkic military epics (notably the legend of Köroğlu),

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epic_of_Koroghlu

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turkish_folk_literature



A statue for the legendary hero of the Turanian world

2- the Iranian epic tradition (an amalgamation of Iranian and Turanian world concepts as narrated by Ferdowsi, Nizami Ganjavi, Amir Khusrau and many others in their epics),

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ferdowsi>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shahnameh>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nizami_Ganjavi
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amir_Khusrau



Fereydoun receives Toorshead: miniature from the famous Ferdowsi's Shahnameh manuscript which was prepared for the Iranian Shah Tahmasp (son of Ismail Safavi) in the 16th c. The world's most exquisite manuscript ever is one of the many manuscripts of Ferdowsi's Shahnameh preserved down to our days and it is known as 'Shahnameh of Shah Tahmasp' (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shahnameh_of_Shah_Tahmasp). It would however be very erroneous to consider Ferdowsi's epic as part of the Iranian national culture only. It consisted in an inextricable part of the Turanian national culture too from Siberia to the Balkans and from Eastern Europe to India. It is noteworthy that the Ottoman Emperor Selim I, writing letters to Ismail Safavi, Shah of Iran, prior to the Battle of Chaldiran, compared himself to the great hero Fereydun. All Ottomans constantly read Ferdowsi's Shahnameh which reflected the popular legends, traditions and cultures of all people throughout the Ottoman Empire.

3- the Turkic mysticism and spirituality (as embodied by several esoteric Orders, starting from Ahmed Yasavi and the Yasawiyya or Yeseviye and going down to the Mevlevi, the Bektashi and the Safavi Orders), and
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ahmad_Yasawi
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mevlevi_Order
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bektashi_Order
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Safavid_order



Symbols and traditions of the Bektashi Order; the Bektashis and their military branch the Qizilbash had the only correct perception, understanding, and interpretation of the Quranic text and the Hadith. Their enemies were the Constantinopolitan Ottoman theologians, who represented a profane distortion of Islam and a systematic effort of Christianization of the teachings of prophet Muhammad. That is why the evil Jesuits loved the Ottoman sultans' stupidity (that would bring the collapse of the Ottoman Empire sooner or later); for this reason they also love the disreputable political ideology of 'political islam', a most anti-Islamic, colonial theory.

4- the sacred texts of Islam (Quran, Hadith) and the traditional Islamic historiography, science, literature, wisdom, and legendary traditions.

Of these foundations, the Ottoman family despised the first, loathed the third (the Bektashi Order was prohibited in 1826, but was well versed in the second (Iranian epics), and excessively utilized the fourth (Quran, Hadith) for their own illegitimate and profane profit.


The Turkic epic tradition, which forms the basis of Modern Turkish literature, refers constantly to the endless Turkic Anatolian rebellions against the Ottoman rulers and their fake Muslim sheikhs and Constantinopolitan sheikhulislams. It is not actually by coincidence that Nazim Hikmet, Modern Turkey's national poet, wrote his illustrious *Simavne Kadısı Ođlu Őeyh Bedreddin Destanı* (The Epic of Sheikh Bedreddin, Son of Simavne Kadi), in 1936, about this superb personality of the 14th and the early 15th c.



Nazim Hikmet




The illustrious Sheikh Bedreddin (شيخ بدر الدين; 1359-1420) was a Seljuk mystic and scholar, who in the early 15th c. sided with the great Turanian Emperor Timur (Tamerlane) against the filthy rascal Bayezid I in the Battle of Ankara (1402). At the time, all Anatolian Turks sided with Timur against the Ottoman pseudo-Muslim sultan, because they already realized very well that the silly Ottomans and their treacherous, fake Sunni sheikhulislams and sheikhs would make of their state a tool for the Anti-Christian pope of Rome, ultimately enslaving all the Muslim and the Christian Orthodox populations to the Western European kingdoms.



Map of the Empire of Tamerlane (Timur): it is relatively wrong because it does not include the conquered lands into the state of the Great Emperor, but colors these lands differently.



A quite mistaken map of Tamerlane's Empire



Totally fake map in which most of Tamerlane's conquests (Moscow, Delhi, Damascus, Ankara, Izmir) are not included in his Empire!

The absolutely perfidious nature of the Ottoman family is fully demonstrated in the case of Timur's siege (December 1402) and conquest of Izmir (Smyrna, by far the largest and most important city in Anatolia's western coastland). The Knights Hospitaller and Venetian army had invaded the Eastern Roman city of Smyrna in 1344, fighting against the Seljuk Turkmen Emir of Aydin. For more than 50 years, the cursed dynasty of Ottomans was waging sectarian wars against other Turkmen Anatolian Muslims, but did not bother to attack and eliminate the Western armies from Izmir/Smyrna.

This constitutes an indication of the good relations that some fake Muslim sheikulislams in the Ottoman court maintained with the Catholic forces and the papal delegates. But Timur fully realized what was at stake. That is why, after vanquishing the useless Ottoman sultan Bayezid I, Timur proceeded to Izmir and liberated the Western coastland of Anatolia from the Western European armies.

In fact, viewed historically, Kemal Ataturk and Timur (Tamerlane) seem have achieved -in the same exactly location-parallel military triumphs, against both the cursed Ottomans and the evil Western intruders.

It is for this reason that, after Timur's death, sheikh Bedreddin led an anti-Ottoman revolution in 1416; the great effort of liberation from the Ottoman yoke started at the same time in Western Anatolia {in Izmir (Smyrna) and Manisa (Magnesia)} and in the Balkans (Dobruja and Wallachia in today's Bulgaria and Romania). It constituted one very vibrant rejection of the Constantinopolitan Ottoman theological cholera. Evidently, sheikh Bedreddin's anti-Ottoman rebellion in the early 15th c. was tantamount to declaration of Turkish national identity. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sheikh_Bedreddin

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/N%C3%A2z%C4%B1m_Hikmet#Plays


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turkish_folk_literature#The_epic_tradition

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yunus_Emre
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Ankara
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Interregnum
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timur#Invasion_of_Anatolia
<https://medium.com/lessons-from-history/ankara-the-battle-that-nearly-killed-the-ottoman-empire-deb582a62ab1>
https://books.google.ru/books?id=9u5WAAAcAAJ&pg=PA150&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smyrniote_crusades
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Knights_Hospitaller
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Smyrna
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ayd%C4%B1nids>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Territorial_evolution_of_the_Ottoman_Empire#1389

The Most Extensive Boundaries of the Ottoman Empire



Fake map of the Ottoman Empire, with vast part of the empire left out (notably the Arabian Peninsula, the Red Sea coasts, Sudan, Sahara and the African Atlas)



Fake map of the Ottoman Empire, probably paid by Saudi petrodollars in order to deliberately present the Arabian Peninsula as located out of the Ottoman Caliph's control. In reality, the Ottomans treated the desert tribes of the Arabian Peninsula as dogs, and they were very correct in this practice and approach.




Correct map of the Ottoman Empire and its dependencies

Many Western scholars deliberately interpret the wars between the Ottomans and the Safavids (16th–18th c.) as a 'Sunni-Shia' clash, but this is a lie; this is tantamount to an attempt of viewing History with today's eyes. It is very wrong. In reality, the Ottoman – Iranian wars were due first, to the well-known and repeatedly attested Turkmen rejection of the devious Ottomans and second, to the clash between the Anatolian Islamic spirituality and the sectarian Ottoman theology. The Iranian Turkmen wanted to support their brethren and save the Anatolian Turkmen from the cruel Ottoman sultans and their devilish sheikhulislams. As you can understand due to my previous paragraphs, it was not only a matter of the early 16th c.; it had already occurred one century earlier: with Timur (Tamerlane)!

One of the consequences of the aforementioned unbearable situation was that great populations (notably the nomads) had to be constantly on the move; more than 200 Turkmen tribes are known to have relocated from the Taurus Mountains to the Zagros mountain range or to the Caucasus region and vice versa (back and forth) during the 15th - 18th c. Coming back to Anatolia after some generations with a richer Georgian or Farsi vocabulary, eventually different names, and also slightly modified beliefs and traditions (as per each case), these originally Turkmen tribes became the ancestors of populations that are nowadays mistakenly thought to be ethnically different ('Zaza', 'Kurmanji': known as 'Kurds').



Areas where Zazaki is spoken in Turkey; Zazaki is closer to Georgian and other Caucasian languages.



Kurmanji and Sorani are very different from one another; only perverse linguists can call Sorani 'South Kurmanji'. This ridiculous classification is tantamount to calling Spanish 'South French'. Both languages are closer to Farsi than to Zazaki. All the same, they have also sizeable Turkish and Arabic vocabulary.

Then, due to the Ottoman lawlessness and out of thin air Anglo-American and French scholars (or German and Russian erudite explorers under Western European influence or guidance) created the 'myth' of the so-called 'Kurdish' nation.

From the above you can understand that Turkey is by definition an anti-Ottoman Turkish state, which respects the Anatolian culture and heritage of Turkic and non-Turkic populations; it was created thanks to the War of Independence (1919-1923), and by definition, Turks have left the Ottoman past to die. Nothing and nobody will bring it back. Any stupid guy, who may wish to try to revive the Ottoman filth, will merely fall inside the Ottoman tomb, fully deserving its putrefaction.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turkish_War_of_Independence

V. The Western Myth of the 'Ottoman Empire'

Demolishing the modern (colonial - Western) myth of the Ottoman Empire would take a 50-volume encyclopedia to effectuate, but I herewith wanted to only pinpoint few critical elements.

Examined with respect to governance, administration, and military force, the Ottoman Empire had the most defective structure of Islamic state. Shortsighted and disingenuous, its rulers (sultans, caliphs or emperors) lost incredible opportunities and doomed their chances to worldwide supremacy. Among the major Muslim empires {disgracefully called 'gunpowder empires' by the Western colonial forgers (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gunpowder_empires)}, the Ottoman Empire occupied the most useless lands (the deserts of Africa and the sand dunes of the Arabian Peninsula), delivered the most undeserving wars, wasted its mediocre resources (particularly if compared with the Mughal Empire of India), and attacked the wrong enemies.

Last year, I needed more than 4500 words to explain (in an article - see link below!) why it was absolutely pathetic for Mehmed II to conquer the city of Constantinople, i.e. the tiny remnant of the erstwhile formidable Eastern Roman Empire.
https://www.academia.edu/43199538/29_May_1453_The_most_Useless_Ottoman_Victory


I will need more space to expand on how Selim I (1470-1520; reigned after 1512) weakened the Ottoman Empire with his sectarian religious beliefs and his depravity as attested in the already mentioned civil war that followed the Shahqulu rebellion. It will take even more space to analyze the disastrous side-effects of his absolutely unnecessary war against Iran. I must however add that, in this regard, the most astounding absurdity of Selim I was the inane use that he made of his victory at Chaldiran (1514).



Selim I (left) and Selim I's major rival, Ismail Safavi (right), as painted by the Florentine painter Cristofano dell'Altissimo (the guy who painted Saladin as a devil!)




The Battle of Chaldiran as painted in the imperial palace Chehel Sotun in Isfahan



The location of Chaldiran, close to today's Turkish-Iranian border, NE of the Lake Van

By uselessly invading Syria, Mesopotamia, Arabia and Egypt (1516-1517), he lost the chance to conquer the Iranian plateau down to the Indus Valley and further on to Delhi and the Ganges Valley, thus effectively reconstituting Tamerlane's formidable state from the Aegean Sea to Bengal. This would be the only way to establish a formidable Muslim state very wealthy and powerful enough to efficiently oppose all European colonial powers and plans.



The terrible mistake of Selim I: instead of merging lands with dense populations from the Adriatic Sea to the Ganges River Valley (by fully invading Iran and subsequently attacking the then ailing Delhi Sultanate), he preferred to collect the sands of Arabia and Egypt as his ... treasure.

Last, speaking about Selim I's son Suleiman I (1494-1566; reigned after 1520), one should first notice the extraordinary eulogies and the bright epithets that Western Europeans used when speaking of him ('Magnificent'; basically because of his involvement with Charles V of the Holy Roman Empire as regards the liberation of the imprisoned Francis I of France). It is however common wisdom that, when your enemies praise you, you have to realize that you committed a calamitous error. Militarily brave but mentally retarded, Suleiman the 'Magnificent' is indeed the person who created the Christian Orthodox 'Russian' kingdom of Muscovy with his ill-fated expeditions, his useless wars against Iran, his misperception of the situation in Crimea, Kazan and Muscovy, and his inability to put an end to fratricidal wars among Tatars, Mongols, Turanians and Muslims.



Suleiman I was not 'magnificent'.

- Do you remember that Ibn Battuta had stated that the world's most opulent city was Saray, the capital of the Golden Horde (one of the vast states that emanated from Genghis Khan's Empire)? But who destroyed Saray? Was it the deed of a Russian?
- No! It was the idiotic act of a Crimean Tatar!

Suleiman I's failure to identify the meaning of the second marriage of Ivan III of Muscovy (1440-1505; reigned after 1462) with Zoe (later Sophia) Palaiologina (niece of the last Eastern Roman Emperor Constantine XI Palaiologos), which took place under the auspices of the pope of Rome, meant that he could not even imagine the back thoughts and the menacing intentions of Vasili III of Muscovy (1479-1533; reigned after 1502).



Ivan III of the tiny principality of Moscow



(baptized Zoe) Sophia Palaiologina




Vasili III of Muscovy



Fake map of the principality of Moscow in 1505; the Muscovy rulers did not control even 20% of the territory in green color at the time. Presenting the early Muscovy state larger than it truly was serves the colonial purpose of concealing the enormous size of Turanian and Muslim khanates on Eastern European soil. Even more importantly, the majority of the population of the state of the predecessors of Ivan IV was Turanian and Muslim. They have been gradually russified and Christianized.

That is why, when Suleiman I was about to die (1566), a great rival to the Ottoman Empire had already appeared in the North, after Ivan IV (known as The Terrible; 1530-1584; reigned after 1533) invaded the Islamic Khanates of Kazan (1552) and Astrakhan (1556), being ready to expand at the detriment of the Islamic Khanate of Siberia.

Even worse, the rise of a northern Christian Orthodox empire as successor to the Eastern Roman Empire had already become known to leading Christian authorities in the realm of the otherwise unsuspecting, foolish Suleiman I, as Patriarch Joachim of Alexandria sent to Muscovy demands for financial assistance (1558), because the famous Saint Catherine's Monastery (in the Sinai) had been damaged by Ottoman soldiers! The unraveling of the Islamic Caliphate and the beginning of the demise of the Ottoman dynasty had already started!



Prof. Mikhail Mikhaylovich Gerasimov's plastic facial reconstruction of Ioann (Ivan) IV (the Terrible): a Turk who even looked like Tamerlane.

However, the calamitous doctrinal blindness of the Ottomans was such that it irreparably condemned them to ultimate collapse and disappearance 364 years later, when the ludicrous clown Vahdettin (also known as Mehmed VI), the last of all Ottomans, was kicked in the ass and left his palace to find shelter {not in a humble village in Anatolia among Turks (as he should have sought) but} in a British warship, then in Malta, and finally in the Italian Riviera where he shamefully died (1926) only to be buried in Damascus (under French mandate) with the money that his daughter collected like a beggar. About:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sarai_\(city\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sarai_(city))
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ibn_Battuta#Central_Asia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sheikh_Ahmed
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crimean_Khanate
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Me%C3%B1li_I_Giray
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed_I_Giray
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sahib_I_Giray
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Devlet_I_Giray
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Giray_dynasty
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ivan_III_of_Russia#Marriages_and_children
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vasili_III_of_Russia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sophia_Palaiologina
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conquest_of_the_Khanate_of_Sibir
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ivan_the_Terrible
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed_VI#Exile_and_death

That's why all the Turks shout today: "the Hell with the Ottomans"!

Best regards,
Shamsaddin