

The World needs Another United Nations

Миру нужна еще одна Организация Объединенных Наций

*or how China, India, Russia, Muslim countries,
Africa & Latin America can bring Peace,
eliminating the West*

*или как Китай, Индия, Россия, мусульманские
страны, Африка и Латинская Америка могут
принести мир, устранив Запад*



Contents

- I. UN: the origin of the problem
- II. Multipolar world order, UN, and various delusions
- III. A new UN: three different General Assemblies and proportional votes for the member states
 - A. First General Assembly: proportional representation for states above 1 million people
 - B. Second General Assembly: one vote for all states under 1 million people

- C. Third General Assembly: one vote per delegate of indigenous nations, oppressed peoples, and unrepresented ethno-religious groups
- IV. A new UN: three levels of Security Council
 - A. Security Council-I: Political-Military Affairs (10 most populated states)
 - B. Security Council-II: Economic-Environmental Affairs (15 most populated states)
 - C. Security Council-III: Cultural-Scientific-Intellectual Affairs (20 most populated states)
- V. Headquarters and Official languages of the New UN

Содержание

- I. ООН: происхождение проблемы
- II. Многополярный миропорядок, ООН и разные заблуждения
- III. Новая ООН: три разных Генеральных ассамблеи и пропорциональные голоса для государств-членов
 - A. Первая Генеральная Ассамблея: пропорциональное представительство для штатов с населением более 1 миллиона человек.
 - B. Вторая Генеральная Ассамблея: один голос для всех штатов с населением менее 1 миллиона человек.
 - C. Третья Генеральная ассамблея: один голос на делегата от коренных народов, угнетенных народов и непредставленных этнорелигиозных групп.
- IV. Новая ООН: три уровня Совета Безопасности
 - A. Совет Безопасности-I: Военно-политические вопросы (10 наиболее густонаселенных штатов)
 - B. Совет Безопасности-II: Экономические и экологические вопросы (15 наиболее густонаселенных штатов)
 - C. Совет Безопасности-III: культурно-научно-интеллектуальные вопросы (20 наиболее густонаселенных штатов)
- V. Штаб-квартира и официальные языки новой ООН

In December 2004 and January 2005, I published a series of articles about the reform of the United Nations Security Council; in November 2007, I was at it again with updated approaches and suggestions. Those were the days extensive discussions about the Security Council reform used to take place, notably because of the then UN Secretary General Kofi Annan's call (on 21st March 2005) for an overhaul. There were two alternatives in the proposal (ever since known as 'InLarger Freedom'), but no substantive result was obtained. Links to all of my articles about the topic you can find here:

https://www.academia.edu/43654823/Links_to_my_articles_about_UN_Security_Council_reform

Several countries formed small groups to promote their interests, and thus quite soon, next to the P5 (permanent five), we had the G4 (Germany, Japan, India & Brazil), and the UfC (Uniting for Consensus, with nine states: Italy, Canada, South Korea, Spain, Turkey, Mexico, Argentina, Pakistan and Malta). It was only normal that no conclusion was drawn and no change took place. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Permanent_members_of_the_United_Nations_Security_Council

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G4_nations

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uniting_for_Consensus

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reform_of_the_United_Nations_Security_Council

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Withdrawal_from_the_United_Nations

At the time, in the early 2000s, the starting points of every new proposal were the following:

- i- the United Nations reflected an outdated international environment, namely that of the middle 1940s;
- ii- the unrepresentative nature of the Security Council, particularly if we take into account the veto power that the permanent member states have, was an obstacle to the normal function of the international life and interstate relations;
- iii- the need to consecrate economic growth, technological progress, 'democratic standards', and therefore various 'democratization' processes;
- iv- the need to ensure worldwide security, safety and peace; and
- v- the effort to usher the international community into a new era of balanced concertation and multilateral cooperation.

In 2020, I came to encounter a Russian scholar and astute thinker who, too, had come up with several interesting suggestions with regard to the United Nations Security Council reform; we had several discussions about the topic. His remarkable approach and contributions can be found here:

<https://independent.academia.edu/VladimirRogozhin>

I must admit that behind the interminable lobbying, the endless negotiations, and the absolutely insignificant result of all related deliberations, there was a gravely serious problem about which few diplomats or journalists were interested to speak. Now, seeing things retrospectively, I can say that the grave issue was at the origin of all the disastrous developments that hit the mankind over the past two decades.

The trouble was none other than the unilateral American attack against Iraq. It is true that several countries reacted to that absurd attempt at the time, but the calamitous consequences of the highly surreptitious and extremely sophisticated Anglo-Saxon inculcation of the Muslim world let us realize that the unjustified attack against Iraq was only a paragraph in a big plan due to which many governments and the civil society of numerous Muslim countries were held captive and absolutely unable to undertake any reaction.

Few years later, the so-called 'Arab Spring' operations failed to bring substantive results, condemning only few nations to externally supported rebellions, strives, divisions, civil wars, open foreign intervention, unnecessary killings and enormous destructions (Yemen, Libya and Syria).

In the period 2020-2022, it became clear that the plan providing for the Greater Middle East was superseded by the pandemic, which belonged to another organization's agenda,

When Russia launched the ipso facto imposed special operation in Ukraine (24 February 2022; https://eng.mil.ru/en/special_operation.htm), almost all the West European and North American governments reacted hysterically because another agenda's paragraph was being cancelled; all the same, these unrepresentative, fake governments failed to recall that one month earlier another 'Arab Spring' type of

operation had been launched in Almaty and few other cities of Kazakhstan, but it failed. About: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2022_Kazakh_unrest

Had some 'elected' people in Western governments been timely ordered to 'denounce' President Kassym-Jomart Tokaev as a ... 'dictator'?

Thank God, the prompt reaction of the Kazakh state and its allies prevented the disastrous results that Victoria Nuland's visit to Moscow in October 2021 could have. About: <https://ru.usembassy.gov/under-secretary-nuland-trip-to-russia-lebanon-and-the-united-kingdom-100821/>

The truth is that this paranoid gangster should be prevented from landing anytime anywhere outside her wretched country.

The extreme deterioration of the conflict in Ukraine, the repeatedly exchanged nuclear threats, the disintegration of the world economy, newly arisen technological challenges, and the confrontation of interests, concepts and perspectives, which takes place in many levels, fully demonstrate that the problem is not the Security Council but the international body itself.

It has become very clear that, unless the mankind gets disentangled from the current international context, the sinister downgrade spiral will absorb the mankind into a nuclear maelstrom. Of course, this is not inevitable, but for this to be done, the ruling elites of all non-Western countries must first become fully aware of the origin of the problem and second abandon some of their dreams or delusions.

I. UN: the origin of the problem

All the people know that every problem pertaining to UN is due to the conditions under which the international body was set up during and immediately after WW II; but very few are those who know what truly happened during that period, which is merely part of the Great Game between Imperial Russia, the continental empire par excellence, and England, the abominable sea power.

Several people believe that the absence of many colonized nations triggered all types of problems in the conceptualization and the materialization of the international body, but this is not quite true; this was only one of the troubles. As a matter of fact, in 1945, the international community consisted of only 50 states, if we take into consideration the United Nations Conference on International Organization which is rather known as San Francisco Conference (25 April – 26 June 1945). The US was credited with two votes (representing also the Philippines), the USSR possessed three votes (two for the delegates of the Ukrainian SSR and the Byelorussian SSR), and England commanded six votes in total (UK, Canada, Australia, India, South Africa, and New Zealand). In brief, the 'UN' was a European-American affair. About: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_Conference_on_International_Organization

The UN's main problem is the fact that almost all the people believe that the organization was established on the ruins of Nazism, whereas the real essence of Nazism emanated from England and survived there afterwards; that's why it

surfaces now again through English machinations in Ukraine, Poland, and the Baltic pseudo-states. This is the axis of evil.

Only a few years after the foundation of the UN, the Soviet Union was subtly dragged into the so-called Cold War and this turned the country from real WW II winner to apparent WW II loser; the Soviets and their allies were marginalized, 'contained', and slandered. In parallel, the decolonization process was machinated by the colonial powers as a means to perpetuate the colonial structures. Accordingly, the new rulers of the so-called 'independent' African countries had studied in Paris and London, and they therefore expressed their masters' ideas, while also supporting their masters' interests.

Practically speaking, the UN was under total Western control and functioned as a tool of Anglo-Saxon prevalence. As such, the UN was genuinely anti-Soviet and therefore absolutely anti-Russian, which was an absolutely abnormal condition of international relations for a real WW II winner (namely the Soviet Union). This lasted until Charles De Gaulle took France out of NATO's integrated military command structure (1966). It was only then that the overall international atmosphere appeared to be somewhat balanced; however, this situation did not truly last long. After the Freemasonic murder of Pope John Paul I whose tenure lasted oddly only 33 days, the 'election' (?? !!) of John Paul II (16 October 1978) signaled the beginning of the effort for the decomposition of the USSR first and of Russia at a later stage. About: <https://shape.nato.int/page214871012>

(Note: For those who find it strange that I fully mistrust and ridicule John Paul's election, I suggest a crash course in Sedevacantism.

<https://www.eclipseofthechurch.com/Articles.htm>

<https://cesnur.com/tradizionalisti-e-sedevacantisti/>

<https://www.agi.it/blog-italia/il-papa->

[pop/francesco_nemici_sedevacantisti_elezione_vaticano-2289527/post/2017-10-25/](https://www.agi.it/blog-italia/il-papa-pop/francesco_nemici_sedevacantisti_elezione_vaticano-2289527/post/2017-10-25/)

<https://www.catholicforum.com/forums/showthread.php?17537-Joachim-the-Sedavacantists-amp-Cardinal-Siri>

Every Wikipedia entry on the topic is viciously biased, absurdly pro-Jesuit, and extremely forged in order to save the otherwise nonexistent prestige of Vatican.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Giuseppe_Siri

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siri_thesis

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedevacantism>

The Siri thesis is not a conspiracy theory and those who call it like that are liars.)

II. Multipolar world order, UN, and various delusions

The asserted efforts to address the systemic weaknesses and to eliminate the ostensible malfunctions of the international body are highly unlikely to ever reach a successful exit. Why this is so we can easily assess.

WW II was not a real war, but an incredibly complex and highly composite scheme.

The Cold War was an enduring anti-Soviet and anti-Russian scheme that lasted until the completion of the decomposition of USSR and the beginning of the disintegration of Russia.

The period 1991-2022 constituted the preparatory stage that the Western powers needed to

- i- put under control the former Eastern European member states of the Warsaw Pact,
- ii- expand in the lands of several former SSRs,
- iii- foment discord between Russia and other former SSRs (Ukraine, Baltic states),
- iv- create serious problems between several former SSRs (Armenia & Azerbaijan; Tajikistan & Kyrgyzstan; Belarus & the Baltic states), and in general
- v- generate the conditions of permanent chaos, war, bloodshed, and destruction throughout Eastern Europe, Northern Asia, the Caucasus region, and Central Asia.

In a way, the Anglo-Saxon world has been in hostilities against Russia since 1947, i.e. the announcement of the Truman Doctrine (12 March). About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cold_War

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origins_of_the_Cold_War

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truman_Doctrine

If we consider Hitler's attack against the USSR as the top achievement of the British Intelligence, the Anglo-Saxon world is in direct or proxy war with Russia since 1941.

If we view Lenin and Trotsky as tools of the Anglo-Saxon bankers, Russia was attacked as early as 1917.

The spiritual dimension of these events starts also in 1917 with the Three Secrets of Fatima (Portugal) and the declaration of the Jesuit intention to 'consecrate' Russia to the 'Immaculate Heart of Mary'.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Three_Secrets_of_Fatima

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Consecration_of_Russia_to_the_Immaculate_Heart_of_Mary

At last, if we contextualize the aforementioned developments within the longer and wider perspective, namely the Great Game, then we go back to 1812 and the Russian-Iranian war of 1804-1813, when the English-Russian confrontation started, at the Battle of Aslanduz (اصلا ندوز / Асландуз). About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Game

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russo-Persian_War_\(1804-1813\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russo-Persian_War_(1804-1813))

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Aslanduz

It may appear as absolutely extraordinary to consider the rivalry of two empires as a so crucial issue of the world affairs, but this is the down-to-earth reality. Either they like it or not, all the other major non-Western powers today have to understand that a- the establishment of the international order was conceived and materialized by the Anglo-Saxon elite as a tool to help them preserve their colonial gains in the aftermath of WW I, and

b- the formation of the UN was undertaken by the Anglo-Saxon elite as a means to engulf Russia/USSR into the position of the loser, who stands always accused for everything, must be 'contained', and therefore plays the role of scarecrow.

About: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_order

The unforeseen, unexpected and unfortunate development for the cruel, criminal and inhuman Anglo-Saxon elite was that their evildoing and the wrongdoings of their

felonious allies and accomplices became finally noticed by many administrations, governments and nations worldwide. Consequently, parallels were drawn and almost all understood that, one way or another, they were all victims of the English colonial perfidy. That is why many states and governments intend now to change the world. The question is whether they truly know how.

'What is crooked cannot be made straight'

(Ecclesiastes 1:15; διεστραμμένον οὐ δυνήσεται ἐπικοσμηθῆναι/Perversi difficile corriguntur/Me'uat, lo-ychal litkon: ןקתל ל'אכל ,תעמ)

For any major non-Western diplomacy, imagining that they can improve the present UN is really tantamount to assuming a lot. This organization cannot be rectified, modified, improved and turned to normal as long as England, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, US, France and several other colonial structures are present therein. The corrupt administrations of these states will never stop diffusing lies, scheming against the others, and spreading death, hatred and all sorts of inhuman sickness.

What is even worse is that the delusion of an all-inclusive international body serves the evil interests of these criminal states that are the real enemies of mankind, not of Russia only. This is so because, by fooling the others and by making them believe that, at a certain moment in the future, the UN will be improved, they gain time. They thus prolong the present situation, triggering more wars and fueling strives everywhere in the hope that they will manage to survive by destroying some of their main enemies in proxy wars.

China, Russia, India, and Brazil may be major exporters, but they must readjust their targets, reinvent their internal markets, and redefine the limits of their exports. For all of these countries, it will be better to envision today's world as existing with only 7.2 (not 8) billion people, thus deleting from their minds Australia, New Zealand, and all the NATO member states. By disrupting and terminating their commercial, economic, educational, academic, cultural and political cooperation and contacts with the countries of Western Europe and North America, the rising forces of Asia, Africa and Latin America will only save themselves from the downgrade spiral into which England, America and their 'allies' want to drag them.

As a matter of fact, the main powers of the Western world never acted as normal states in their relationship with others; this is due to their colonial nature, their racist mindset, and above all, their grave internal anomaly; these states are not democratic societies ruled by elected representatives as they preposterously claim. In fact, their administrative and governmental mechanisms have long been hijacked by criminal and evil gangs that are known as secret societies. In some cases, this situation goes back to the 17th and the 18th centuries.

These secret societies control and use these states without ever caring about the detrimental damages that they cause to these states. In fact, there are no English, French, Dutch, American, etc. interests, because whatever decision the governments of these countries take is beneficial (not to the local peoples but) to the secret gangs that hijacked the state mechanisms.

Furthermore, as these countries colonized the smaller countries of European Union, therefore turning them to victims, we attested recently to the odd phenomenon of these countries taking pro-English, pro-American and anti-Russian decisions that terribly damage their own interests. Poland, the Baltic States, Slovakia and Romania, to name but a few, have nothing to gain by turning Moscow against them; yet, over the past 14 months, their totally unrepresentative, governments acted provocatively. The national interests of all these states imperatively impose good relations with Russia; there cannot be English or American terms in the bilateral relations of an EU or NATO member-state with Russia. No state can possibly have the interest to be ruined like Ukraine today only to satisfy the needs of the heinous and paranoid Anglo-Saxon gangsters. The present situation and the obscure fate of these tiny lands are the example to avoid; this must be made understood to all the small UN member states.

Instead of promoting bilateral cooperation, the diplomats of England, France, USA, Canada, Australia, Holland and few other major Western countries incessantly try to

- i- hire local lackeys, by bribing poor natives and by promising meteoric financial, socio-professional and political rise to their idiotic collaborators,
- ii- 'educate' gullible natives, who think that they can learn the truth in the disreputable universities, colleges, institutes and libraries of the Western countries whereby everything is distorted, forged and adjusted to these criminal countries' interests,
- iii- prepare regime changes, unstoppable killings, unnecessary divisions, rebellions, and civil unrest of every sort,
- iv- diffuse diametrically opposed considerations of the past and terribly conflicting worldviews in order to confuse and further split every indigenous nation,
- v- exploit local resources by supporting, propelling and imposing their local stooges who, relying on their criminal relation with one of those countries, unreservedly betray their own nation and commit high treason,
- vi- generate (among the naïve, unsuspecting local people) lawlessness, immorality, viciousness, corruption, obscenity, disrespect for all local traditions, cultures and religions, and disregard for all traditional values, principles and virtues, and in brief,
- vii- utilize the local country as an enslaved protectorate that has no real hypostasis.

China, Russia, India, Brazil and many other major countries must understand that the only way to stop once forever this sick and inhuman situation is the foundation of a new United Nations that they will have to create from scratch, intentionally keeping the Anglo-Saxon contamination out.

III. A new UN: three different General Assemblies and proportional votes for the member states

Back in 2005-2007, I focused almost exclusively on the UN Security Council reform, but the developments that took place in-between fully demonstrated that the dysfunctional character of the international body starts already at the level of the General Assembly. As it is well known, every member state has one vote. This is one of the utmost absurdities of our time; to describe it plainly and accurately, it is most offensive for the outright majority of the mankind.

It is absolutely abnormal to consider 173 million people of Bangladesh (8th country by population) as 'equal' to 3 million people of Lithuania. It is ludicrous, inane and calamitous. Yet, these two nations have one vote each in the UN General Assembly, which is 'humanity turned upside down'. There are even more risible examples in this regard, when comparing present UN member states to one another; Vietnam with almost 100 million people (16th country by population) is therefore viewed as the equivalent of Kiribati that is not populated by more than 150000 people (178th country by population). Both countries have one vote only in the international body. Check details here:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_population_\(United_Nations\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_population_(United_Nations))
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Member_states_of_the_United_Nations

There are approximately 35 member states (ca. 20% of the national representatives in the UN) with population less than 1 million people. Only 2 of them (Luxembourg and Iceland) were member states since the middle 1940s. This means that not only the UN has always been a terribly biased organization in which great nations (like Iran, Indonesia, Pakistan, Mexico, Egypt, Nigeria, etc.) were 'told' that their value was next to nothing, but also that the overall situation turned worse over the decades.

This situation must take a dead end.

A. First General Assembly: proportional representation for states above 1 million people

In the First General Assembly of the new UN, only states with more than 1 million people must be accepted. This means that as of today, Djibouti (ca. 1150000 people) will be accepted as member (thus being the smallest), but Malta (535000 people) will not.

Representation will have to be proportional, and this means that

- a state like Central African Republic with ca. 6 million people will be offered 6 votes;
- a state like Iraq with ca. 46 million people will have 46 votes; and
- a state like Tanzania with ca. 67 million people will own 67 votes.

Accordingly,

- the vote of the representative of Uzbekistan will be counted as 35 votes;
- Cuba will be considered as a state with 12 votes; and
- 3 votes will be allocated to Uruguay.

Every five years, the total votes allotted to the member states will be readjusted as per new demographic data. It is almost certain that such a system will generate a competition among several member states, which can only be positive, because it will force many governments to work actively in order to outmaneuver the country's demographic decline. It goes without saying that these developments will tear down all monstrous plans providing for eugenics, 'euthanasia', and population reduction.

There will have to be a ceiling with 100 votes per country. This does not minimize in anything the importance of the countries with more than 100 million people within the new UN organization. This is so because, as it will be explained further on, the top 20 countries by population will be accepted as permanent member states of the

Security Council, therefore having extra privileges and advantages. In this regard, I have to add that presently there are only 15 states with population above the said limit; this means that in the Security Council there will also be some member states with less than 100 million people.

Ensuring proportional representation transforms the international body into a real assembly of nations; it will therefore cease to be an elitist venue whereby lackeys, slaves and stooges have no other role than always agreeing with the orders of their masters.

Due to proportional representation, the United Nations General Assembly will function like a real parliament of the world community, following the much needed defenestration of Australia, New Zealand, and the ominous NATO clique.

One can better understand the nature of the proposal, by simply comparing the existing, risible and shameful, UN General Assembly to the European Parliament and the national apportionment of MEP (Member of European Parliament) seats. As a matter of fact, would you ever imagine a European Parliament with just 27 (twenty seven) ambassadors, all having just one vote? It looks absurd!

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/European_Parliament

What is absurd for the European Parliament must also be considered as such for the international body; as a historical concept, an 'assembly' has always been the living embodiment of representativeness; actually, it can be taken seriously only under this condition. Who would find it normal, within the context of the European Parliament, for Denmark to have the same weight as Italy and Poland to have as many deputies as Germany does? All sensible people know very well that it would be ridiculous to offer Lithuania the 'right' to appear as important as Hungary within the context of national representation. Even worse, it would also be dangerous, misleading, and deceitful. If this is so within the context of the European Union, why is it difficult to fathom that such an approach would be disastrous at the international level?

Actually, if there is still extensive discussion about the calamitous consequences of colonialism and the need for reparations, this is so because most of the countries in Asia, Africa and Latin America find it preposterous and certainly undeserving to have in the present UN General Assembly only one vote, pretty much like tiny Estonia, miniscule Cyprus, and microscopic Iceland.

The governments, the diplomats, the academics, the entrepreneurs, and the mass media of China, India, Russia, Brazil and South Africa must therefore realize that now they have to take BRICS to the next stage, fully transforming it into a new UN organization, while in parallel withdrawing from the absurd, useless and obsolete present structure that serves only for the schemes of the Anglo-Saxon gangsters and their allies.

They will therefore have to strongly motivate the governments, the political class, the diplomacies, the civil society, and the businessmen of Indonesia, Pakistan, Nigeria, Bangladesh, Mexico, Japan, Ethiopia, Philippines, Egypt, Congo, Vietnam, Iran, Turkey, Thailand, Tanzania, Myanmar, Kenya, Colombia, South Korea (mentioning the states with more than 50 million people) and all the other non-Western states that the only chance to really weigh at the international level, eliminate wars, eradicate

poverty, cancel colonial biases and injustices, and also deal with one another in terms of parity is to close the door to the embassies, the consulates, the universities, the institutes, the companies and the tourists of the so-called Western world now.

B. Second General Assembly: one vote for all states under 1 million people

This organ will be the venue of all the states with less than one million people. It goes without saying that, as soon as one state passes the limit, it have to be automatically transferred to the First General Assembly. This provision concerns for instance states like Fiji, Comoros, Guyana, Bhutan, Solomon Islands, Luxembourg, and Montenegro to name the closest to the limit.

The Second General Assembly will offer every member state only one vote, continuing the present UN General Assembly voting system. Topics will be discussed as in the First General Assembly, whereas coordination will be effectuated at all levels. All the same, this organ will help members focus on their issues, while also enabling them to report, via observers, to the larger organ and to the Security Council.

Making distinctions among states on the basis of their population may appear as eventually discriminatory but it is in fact emancipatory, as it truly offers the chance to few dozens of small states from all continents to focus on and highlight their affairs and concerns. Instead of being the shadowy sidekick of the Anglo-Saxon theatrical UN of the period 1945-2023, these states will have a venue of their own, being totally liberated from the cumbersome and embarrassing presence of Western diplomats and sly schemers who don't give a damn about the minor states' needs and interests.

That's why a realistic approach is necessary in this regard, and all the hitherto non-recognized countries must be accepted here or, if they are larger, in the First General Assembly; Kosovo, the Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic, Transnistria, the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia must become de facto member states of the new UN. Artsakh must be advised to unite with Armenia, and the same is valid for Somaliland, which is part of Somalia. More importantly, Taiwan should start negotiations with China in view of a scaled return to the continental motherland. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_states_with_limited_recognition

C. Third General Assembly: one vote per delegate of indigenous nations, oppressed peoples, and unrepresented ethno-religious groups

The third venue is an organ created in order to accommodate non-elected members of clandestine organizations of national liberation, representatives of ethno-religious communities -which may at times define themselves as separate 'nations'-, heads of cultural associations focused on ethno-linguistic and ethno-religious entities, which are not represented either at the national level (parliament) or in the international body, and activists from among tribally oppressed clans, persecuted sects, etc.

Back in 2007, after having systematically published hundreds of articles about the Oromos, the Ogadenis, the Sidamas, the Afars and many other oppressed nations in Africa (notably the Furis of Darfur, the Beja of Eastern Sudan, the Kaffas, the Sheka, the Hadiya, the Kambatas, the Anuaks, the Berta and the Agaw of Abyssinia) and in

Asia (namely the Aramaeans, the Turkmen of Iraq, the Yazidis, the South Azeris, the Baluch, the Hadhramis and the Mehri of Yemen, etc.), I published this article, which constitutes the very nucleus of the concept that I intend to herewith present:
"UN Reform - Institutionalize a Tribune of the Oppressed Peoples (2007)"
https://www.academia.edu/43653993/UN_Reform_Institutionalize_a_Tribune_of_the_Oppressed_Peoples_2007_

As concept, this venue is not very distant from the existing UNPO (Unrepresented Nations and Peoples Organization), which was launched as early as 1991. About:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unrepresented_Nations_and_Peoples_Organization
<https://unpo.org/>

As one could easily expect, the successful establishment of this assembly will not be an easy task, due to the inherent difficulties of this terrain, namely

- i- lack of elementary transparency,
- ii- absence of ostensible representativeness,
- iii- prevailing clandestine conditions of action,
- iv- potential tribal conflicts within the context of liberation organizations,
- v- exiting spiritual and religious differences,
- vi- eventually colonial affiliations of individual members of these organizations (involving bribery and various benefits or privileges in exchange for attrition activities, erosive actions, destructive proposals, passing of intelligence, etc.) and
- vii- other reasons.

It goes without saying that such an organization was viewed in the past as a tool of infiltration and as a method to cause problems to other states. Typical examples in this regard are the fully unrepresentative World Uyghur Congress and the Central Tibetan Administration, which proved to be mere outfits of the CIA and the MI6.
About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_Uyghur_Congress
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Central_Tibetan_Administration

The establishment of this venue must not take a hostile character against the states that will establish the new UN or, more particularly, the countries to which these hitherto unrepresented nations belong; on the contrary, a reconciliatory approach has to prevail in the beginning, without however preventing the eventually forthcoming secession and national liberation of the persecuted nation. Negotiations, international deliberations, and pacification processes will be engaged in view of a prompt and clear improvement of the indigenous nations' conditions of life.

All the same, this venue will help terminate all the lies and the numerous delusions that Western colonial powers still say now and endlessly diffuse among natives of these nations who -via UNHCR processes- arrive and settle in those countries. In fact, the shameful attitude of Western diplomats toward African, Asiatic, Latin American, and European (like the Kelc'h An Dael of Brittany and the Fondacioni Demokratik Çamëria of Chameria, NW Greece) representatives of indigenous nations involved

- i- hypocritical maintenance of contacts with them,
- ii- continuous but false promises of full support and effective guidance,
- iii- complete, effective and progressive containment of the indigenous nations,

iv- successfully implemented methods to make these nations and their liberation organizations and movements waste their time.

About:

https://br.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kelc%27h_An_Dael

<http://www.parlementdebretagne.org/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Democratic_Foundation_of_Chameria

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Political_Association_%22%C3%87am%C3%ABria%22

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chameria_Human_Rights_Association

<https://web.archive.org/web/20100312080401/http://cameria.eu/>

Then, what usually happens in these cases of colonial interference and disruptive, deceptive deeds is that two decades pass and no major change has occurred; then, suddenly it becomes clear to all that no substantive step was made in the path of these nations toward national liberation and independence. Even worse, the so much desired initial target looks more difficult and less plausible to achieve two decades later. This is so because several other, rather inauspicious, developments took place in the meantime.

The colonial powers, by creating 'diasporas' in different parts of the world (notably Australia, Canada, UK, US, etc.), totally weakened the existing internal (or 'local') dynamics of liberation, because usually the leading elements of the revolt and the pioneers of national identity awareness emigrate as refugees, purportedly to help promote the liberation struggle, but at the end, they leave the rest without real guidance and, once abroad, they achieve nothing.

There is still another important issue in this regard; the vivid interest for the representation of all the nations, the peoples, the ethnic-linguistic-religious groups, and the sects in the Third General Assembly of the new UN must -this time- come from above. Until now, various groups, bodies and organizations used to contact UNPO for the purpose of participation; from now on, the opposite path must be followed. When establishing the new international body, the leading countries' diplomats must set up a committee that will study the topic from several diverse viewpoints. Then, they will organize a great number of fact finding sub-committees, which will undertake in situ research and preliminary contacts with the indigenous peoples and ethnic-religious-linguistic entities, before reporting to the established committee.

Contrarily to the evil intentions that were involved in this regard during the past, reconciliation (between the indigenous nation and the respective state), pacification, and fair integration (of the ethnic group into the country) will be the main targets in the establishment of this organ.

IV. A new UN: three levels of Security Council

The Security Council of the present international body is the paramount example of injustice, elitism, unfairness, partial approach to mankind, discrimination and disrespect of the 'other' in our time. The previous sentence is an understatement, if one takes into consideration the fact that four (4) out of the five (5) veto powers total

only 7.5% of the world population. In fact, what is called 'international life' has in reality been 'international tyranny' thus far.

Even worse, the three western veto powers (F-UK-US), which -in case no veto is used by the other two permanent members- impose their decision on all the rest, do not represent more than 6% of the world population. This monstrous situation means that, at the level of the international community, the preposterously called 'Western democracies' imposed -via the enormous bias named 'UN'- a 'Western tyranny'. This is a disgrace. In fact, the decision-making process of the international community is in the hands of an extreme -and provenly dangerous- minority.

China is therefore used rather as an alibi in this regard. Beijing's participation in the present UN Security Council helps obscure the reality, namely the extremely unrepresentative nature of the international body's entire structure. It would suffice for China alone to withdraw from the present, absolutely ridiculous, UN to fully delegitimize the organization, which is nothing else than a shameful colonial trickery invented to enable basically three countries to rule, cheat, and persecute the quasi-totality of mankind.

That's why I am convinced that true and fair representativeness, functional concertation, sustainable cooperation, and peaceful international order impose veto right for every country with 100 million people or at least one percent (1%) of the world's total population; at this moment, there are seventeen (17) countries that meet the criteria (excluding the US of course). If we envision a new UN Security Council with the twenty (20) most populated states as permanent members (holding veto power), we will certainly reach an advanced level of multilateral synergy and reciprocal understanding.

At present, if we exclude the Western countries, the top 20 states by population (China, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Nigeria, Brazil, Bangladesh, Russia, Mexico, Japan, Philippines, Ethiopia, Egypt, Vietnam, Congo, Iran, Turkey, Thailand, Tanzania, and South Africa) total around 5.2 billion people, thus representing 65% of the world population.

At this point, I have to state that there must not be any non-permanent UNSC member states, because this provision/institution has no other purpose except the ornamental embellishment of a situation, which is absolutely impermissible and obnoxious.

Only gangsters would dare to say that the unacceptable situation, which prevails today in the UN Security Council (with the five permanent members totaling 2 billion people. i.e. only 20% of the world population), can be possibly viewed as fair, right and good, especially if compared with the aforementioned suggestion.

In the new United Nations organization, the permanent membership in the Security Council will be

- triple for the ten (10) most populated states,
- double for the fifteen (15) most populated states, and
- single for the twenty (20) most populated states,

This will be so because there will have to be three different levels of Security Council, namely SC-I, SC-II, and SC-III. The first will focus on political and military affairs; the second will be the particular venue where to discuss economic, financial and environmental issues, whereas the third will be the top forum to deliberate and decide on cultural, scientific and intellectual topics – involving the public and the private sectors of all member states.

It goes without saying that with the abandonment of the present international body by the states, which will participate in the new United Nations, the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank will have to be abandoned too and all debts canceled. The worldwide economic order will therefore have to be redefined from scratch and the Western banks and states defenestrated from the international community. The New Development Bank, an institution successfully launched by the BRICS, will entirely replace those absurd, heinous and inhumane structures. About: <https://www.ndb.int/about-ndb/>

The obsolete UNESCO agency proved to be a monumental fabrication of absolutely racist nature, which -beyond several undeniably remarkable campaigns (like the International Campaign to Save the Monuments of Nubia)- failed to ensure equal cooperation among the member states, being thus used by the Western colonial states as a tool of their propaganda and as an instrument of diffusion of Western European culture. About: <https://whc.unesco.org/en/activities/172/>
<https://takingcareproject.eu/article/the-nubia-campaign-international-salvation-of-cultural-heritage-during-the-1960s>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_Campaign_to_Save_the_Monuments_of_Nubia

That is why another agency will have to be launched under the auspices of SC-III. I cannot further expand here, but I must state that one of the first major tasks of the new UNESCO agency will have to be the elaboration of common manuals of History for all the levels of Secondary Education of all the member states of the new UN. The consolidation of worldwide peace and safety will be a dream come true, only if all the nations of the world start sharing a truthful course of World History whereby

- i- every preposterous Greco-centric and Euro-centric narrative and historical falsification,
- ii- every racist approach that magnifies 'Western History' while concealing Asiatic, African and Mexican-Peruvian History,
- iii- every evil effort to ignore localities and disconnect nations and ethnic-religious groups from one another,
- iv- every Western system of ideologization of History, and
- v- the systematic disregard of the historical sources will be eliminated.

A. Security Council-I: Political-Military Affairs (10 most populated states)

With the participation of (in order by population) China, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Nigeria, Brazil, Bangladesh, Russia, Mexico and Japan, the first plenum will be the venue of nations that total more than 4.3 billion people, namely ca. 55% of the world population. Even on this occasion, it will be far more representative and balanced than the present totally obsolete scheme. More importantly, this approach fully highlights the down-to-earth reality that, when it comes to the international world

order, Indonesia is more important than England, and Bangladesh is more significant than France.

It is not normal for the two Western European colonial nations to have veto right in the present UN Security Council, in the light of the historically undeniable fact that they waged -for more than two centuries- merciless wars against almost the entire mankind, while now they have a combined population much lower than that of Bangladesh, which never undertook military expeditions either in South Asia or overseas.

It is a shame for all of us, if we do not reject in the most resolute manner the fact that 135 million citizens of France and England occupy two out of the five (5) UNSC permanent member seats, whereas 170 million citizens of Bangladesh have none. This situation generates actually many of the problems that the international community has to deal with nowadays.

It is also noteworthy that, according to my proposal, three out of the ten UNSC permanent member states have almost entirely Muslim population (Indonesia, Pakistan and Bangladesh), and this terminates the nonsensical literature and the political propaganda that minor Muslim countries raised in the past about the lack of representativeness that characterizes the present international body "because almost 2 billion Muslim citizens are not empowered even with one seat in the venue of the present UN". Of course, the remark was pertinent, but it was not uttered by the correct persons, politicians, diplomats and countries. The only worthwhile and trustworthy representatives of the Muslim world are the three most populous countries with majority Muslim population.

Security Council-I will be regularly and extraordinarily convened to solve issues of political and military nature. This will be the venue where various conflicts will be discussed and solved. Troubles due to foreign occupation, cultural oppression, ethnic persecution, political discrimination, spiritual-religious conflicts and disputes will have to be studied, discussed and solved, pending the elaboration of a new truly Universal Declaration of Human Faith and Rights that will reflect all the culturally diverse approaches to Life, Moral, Law, Society, State, and International Order. This groundbreaking document to which all three General Assemblies will contribute will set the new foundations of international law, canceling numerous unequal 'treaties' that the cruel colonial powers imposed on numerous unfortunate nations in the 19th and the 20th centuries.

It will also be the task of Security Council-I to define the relations with the Western countries, which will have to be excluded from the new UN, as well as to specify the terms and the conditions under which several -but not all- member states of the European Union and the NATO would eventually be accepted in the new UN, following their unconditional withdrawal from all the existing international bodies that are controlled by the leading countries of the Western world.

Security Council-I will also define the modalities and the processes with which all the intergovernmental organizations established by the member states of the new UN will get fully detached from the present UN structure and linked to the emerging multilateral world order in which there will not be any place for the major colonial powers. For organizations like the Organization of Islamic Cooperation, the African

Union, the Union of South American Nations, the Organization of Turkic States, etc., their scope will have to be determined within the new international environment, their activities revised in order to be constructively incorporated within the new international body, and their achievements ultimately recommended to other states and organizations. About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_intergovernmental_organizations

One of the Security Council-I's most imperative missions will be the dismantlement and the cancelation of the racist, colonial organizations calamitously set up by England and France, i.e. the British Commonwealth (ridiculously self-styled as 'Commonwealth of Nations') and the colonially imposed Francophonie (or Franco-phoney?). However, the irrevocable eradication of the two colonial means of communications (i.e. English & French) from the international scene will rather be the concern of the Security Council-III, which will introduce effective measures to duly facilitate prompt and straight inter-African, inter-Asiatic, and international communication without the use of the worthless languages of the Western colonial powers; English and French must be declared 'slavery languages' and systematically eliminated from the educational curricula of all the member states of the new UN.

About:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commonwealth_of_Nations

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anglosphere>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Organisation_internationale_de_la_Francophonie

B. Security Council-II: Economic-Environmental Affairs (15 most populated states)

In this venue, in addition to all SC-I member states (as per above), the Philippines, Ethiopia, Egypt, Vietnam, and Congo will be convened. The population of these five countries totals ca. 520 million; altogether SC-II will therefore represent the will of 4.8 million people, i.e. more than 60% of the world population. Focusing on economic affairs, this organ will drastically reverse the scheme of the international Banking oligarchy, eliminate the unnecessary surpluses of multinational corporations, put economic decision-making under popular control, link private wealth to public welfare, and terminate the absurd accumulation of riches that are due to speculation and of profit that is unrelated to production. Poverty eradication will be top priority.

SC-II member states will have to set the foundations of a new worldwide socio-economic system, which will combine private initiative and public interest, reducing the enormous burden of bureaucracy, getting out of the vicious circle of deliberate migration, balancing life in the countryside and the megalopolis, inventing several socio-economic alternatives, solving real environmental issues without ideological prejudice, canceling the paranoid Agenda 2030, and irreversibly stripping magnates and unelected potentates of the chance to influence international affairs in any sense.

It goes without saying that all the myths of the Western (European or American) Left will have to be systematically uprooted and methodically deconstructed. Once for all, the mankind must go beyond the paranoid vilification of 'capitalism', which in fact was never a historical fact (in contrast to the free market), but it constituted 'les aventures rocambolesques' of a scarecrow or rather the delusional caricature of a Grim Reaper who plunged in bloodshed the idiots who believed it and the cowards who did not escape from it. The exemplary path crossed by China from the days of

Deng Xiaoping to the three tenures of Xi Jinping will have to be made understood and offered a potential example to the rest of the world without the absurd Western indoctrination, ideologization and sectarianization of every notion.

This venue will reconcile the average people, their governments, and the new leading financial institutions, which will be launched in order to fully consolidate sustainable development and ensure diversification of the economic model, while also respecting cultural values and local traditions that reveal the non-systematicity of several economies/countries. People across the Earth will have to be assured that no lurking dangers and no looming disasters threaten their survival; this in turn will restore trust in the society, the economy, and the governance at the local, regional and international levels. It will be essential for the leading forces of the change to eradicate the widespread mistrust, suspicion, fear and insecurity which were caused due to the absurd decision-making and the unprecedented partiality of the Western world's governments; this is necessary because these conditions trigger psychological negativity, conspiracy theories, and dismantlement of the social norms. In brief, detached from the Western countries and liberated from the colonial yoke, the various nations and peoples must perceive the change as a 'great restart', at the very antipodes of the elitist, colonial, racist and inhumane 'great reset'.

C. Security Council-III: Cultural-Scientific-Intellectual Affairs (20 most populated states)

In this venue, in addition to all SC-II member states (see above), Iran, Turkey, Thailand, Tanzania, and South Africa will participate. The plenum (the largest possible of the Security Council) will work hard to achieve numerous targets and solve problems that do not seem to be grave, although they truly are because they happen to be at the very origin of all local, regional, international, proxy and hybrid wars.

Colonizing most of the world, the Western European powers and their satellites (or paraphernalia) diffused worldwide an intellectual contamination of ahistorical, revisionist, immoral and obscene character which is tantamount to barbarism, inhumanity and blasphemy. This salacious fabrication was first constructed in the Western confines of Asia's most backward peninsula, i.e. Europe, and thence exported tyrannically and imposed brutally on all colonized lands and territories. This inane and villainous product caused erosion, corruption and putrefaction to all local and regional cultures that prevailed worldwide until 1500.

More the colonial powers expanded, further the infection spread; this meant that great civilizations and important local cultures started being gradually destroyed because of the cruel rule imposed all over the world. The deterioration of the infamous and deceitful disease took the form of successive stages (Renaissance, Classicism, Enlightenment, etc.) that perverted all the people of the world step by step, leading the mankind to the present lawless laws of EU, UK, US and their satellites. All local and regional cultures were therefore -practically speaking- canceled. Now that it became understood that Western world means literally Death, it is high time for the concerted forces of Asia, Africa, and Latin America to systematically reject the absurd colonial ideas, theories, historical forgery, political racism, white supremacy, transgenderism, and -last but not least- the intellectual excrement baptized 'woke culture'.

SC-III will have therefore to lead the effort for a deep, comprehensive and complete de-Occidentalization by

i- re-identifying local cultures, re-instating their constituent elements, re-asserting their values, and re-defining their role in today's world;

ii- being the mastermind and the true guide of the new UNESCO organization, which will take the mankind to the next stage and effectively contribute to reciprocal knowledge, mutual understanding, respect of the other's culture, and unconditional rejection of any attempt of spiritual-religious proselytism;

iii- canceling the historical falsification that the Western universities have long been teaching and propagating as a racist Greco-centric, Romano-centric, and Euro-centric dogma;

iv- writing the true and impartial, multilateral History of Mankind, free of the biased Western approach as per which posterior criteria are projected onto earlier periods.

Sumer, Egypt (Kemet), Assyria, Babylonia, China, Anatolia, Canaan, Cush, Punt, Carthage, Iran, India and Turan offer the criteria for us to evaluate the Hebrew, Greek, Roman, Christian and Western European civilizations, and not vice versa;

v- eliminating the fallacious Western European dogma of 'the Age of Discovery';

vi- removing every sample of linguistic Anglicism, Latinism and Hellenism from all the languages of colonized and/or culturally impacted nations;

vii- promoting cultural, academic and intellectual exchanges at the local, regional and international levels. Ignorance of cultural, spiritual, religious, artistic and historical details of minorities (at the local level), of neighboring countries (at the regional level), and of remote cultures and civilizations (at the international level) is the main reason for strives, conflicts and wars. That is why the study of the 'other', the knowledge of the 'other', the exploration of the 'other' and the love of the 'other' will have to be enforced at all levels: local, regional and international;

viii- launching enormous projects that will be carried out by the various agencies of the new UN, notably an online site 'Worldwide Education, Knowledge and Wisdom' (WEKW) that will terminate the unacceptable, yet immense, historical distortions contained in the Wikipedia;

ix- establishing a new Internet entirely independent from the US-based structure;

x- defining the moral standards for scientific research that will be solemnly proclaimed, internationally accepted, and comprehensively respected. The New Code of Ethics has to be at the very antipodes of the present, trivial standards, immoral attitudes, and perverse mindsets of the Western scientists;

xi- supporting efforts to perceive the multilateral/multicultural reality of our world through historically attested cultural viewpoints. One example in this regard is offered by the famous book 'The India Way: Strategies for an Uncertain World' published recently (2020) by the Indian Foreign Minister Subrahmanyam Jaishankar. The positive outcome of these efforts lies in the identification of the different constituent elements of the diverse cultures that exist worldwide, and in the establishment of possible links among them, so that the entire Mankind finally understands that ;differently said' does not equal 'different' and it can often mean 'similar'. About: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/S._Jaishankar

and

xii- keeping a close eye on modern technologies in relationship with the accumulated power that Western magnates and Big Tech oligarchs have acquired. It will be the task of SC-III to prevent a creepy Big Tech Tyranny. Actually, the present situation of the Western world is extremely preoccupying and utterly dangerous for the entire mankind not only because of the expansionist madness of the US military-industrial

complex but also due to the impermissible power that human naivety and gullibility allowed to be gathered over the years in the hands of few, paranoid and narcissistic crooks, i.e. Bill Gates, Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, Warren Buffet, George Soros, Sundar Pichai, Jeff Bezos and their likes. In this regard, the international community must determine the limits beyond which monopoly is improper and therefore banned. Any private Big Tech company that reaches the level of Google, Microsoft, Facebook, Amazon, Twitter, etc. must not be 'nationalized' but "internationalized", i.e. they must become property of the UN.

V. Headquarters and Official languages of the New UN

Giving hitherto unknown importance to medium size member states, the new UN -in striking contrast to the present US/EU-centric international body- must function as a highly decentralized organization. The various organs and the associated agencies of the new international body must be located in different capitals in a way to preserve a certain sense of balance among all the continents. What follows is only a personal suggestion which is due to considerations of historical and geographical order.

There will therefore have to be independent venues for the three General Assemblies and, in addition, separate locations as bases for the three different plenums of the Security Council (SC-I, SC-II and SC-III). The place where the First General Assembly convenes has to be considered as the main headquarters of the new UN.

Astana, Kazakhstan: Main headquarters, base of the First General Assembly

Bamako, Mali: base of the Second General Assembly

Quito, Ecuador: base of the Third General Assembly

Phnom Penh, Cambodia: base of the Security Council-I

Lilongwe, Malawi: base of the Security Council-II

Asuncion, Paraguay: base of the Security Council-III

If a new fully representative, international body intends to reflect the will of the nations across the Earth in terms of peace, justice, equity, impartiality and transparency, it will have to accept the following fifteen (15) languages as official means of communications in all of its venues, organs and agencies:

Chinese, Urdu/Hindi, Spanish, Bengali, Portuguese, Bahasa, Malay, Russian, Turkish, Modern Arabic, Farsi, Hausa, Swahili, Japanese, and Tamil

This approach testifies to a resolute determination to break once forever with the era of colonial disasters, biases, atrocities and injustices. A colonially imposed means of communication like English and French cannot by definition be an 'international language' in a non-racist world; such a language constitutes only the chains of slavery. Speaking English or French wherever you go does not enlarge your horizons and does not make of you a knowledgeable cosmopolitan; it merely shows your misery of being held captive in an undeniably enormous cell of prison. But if you are a prisoner, does it really matter to you how big your jail is?

English and French must be punished for having long been the means of colonial education, subaltern culture, historical falsification, political racism, absurd

ideologization, religious exploitation, and intellectual terrorism. They must be deleted from the collective memory of mankind. This is the price that England and France will pay for the colonies that they had.

The only way for China to rise as a universal superpower will be Chinese culture, history, values and language. For five (5) millennia China proved that the Chinese were never a nation that expanded colonially. Now, China along with India, Russia and other great regional powers can open the way to a better future for the entire mankind.

But in this future, there is no place for UK, France, US, EU, NATO and their satellites (or paraphernalia). The rupture is inevitable. The only alternative is the chaos that the evil powers of the sea intend to trigger worldwide; you will never change the evil. You can only isolate and seclude it.